

HIGHLIGHTS ON ISRAEL'S DRIVE FOR PEACE

ZIONISTS KNEW IN ADVANCE

Eshkol states: United States Fleet will Defend Israel
Front Page Heading Jewish Press, April 28, 1967.

REMEMBER PEARL HARBOR?

... Granting severe provocation in the Egyptian blockade of the Gulf of Aqaba, Israel nonetheless threw its forces into battle while negotiation was in progress . . . in fact, the United States may have been an unwitting accomplice by encouraging Arab negotiation while the Israeli put the finishing touches on the blitzkreig plan. Nations going to war do not keep their aircraft on the ground, and by Israeli accounts the Egyptian air force was largely destroyed before a single sortie had been flown.

NATIONAL OBSERVER, June 12, 1967.

U.S. PROSTITUTED PRESS

Dr. William A. Ward Jr., a professor at the American University in Beirut Lebanon, stated that he was appalled by the unfairness and total inaccuracy of what the communications media are saying about the Middle Eastern crisis. Actual hostilities in the present war were begun by Israel and all the hostile acts along the Arab-Israeli borders in recent years have not been committed by the Arabs. There were no hostilities along the border between Lebanon and Israel, yet Israeli bombers continually attacked the dams along the Litani River attempting to destroy a good part of the water supply.

NEWARK NEWS, June 12, 1967.

MAN'S INHUMANITY TO MAN

Lt. Col. R. H. W. Bunworth of Ireland, chairman of the U.N. sponsored Israeli-Syria Mixed Armistice Commission reported bombing, use of napalm and strafing on hundreds of military and civilian targets. Reports reveal non-military villages were bombed; first aid centers clearly marked with Red Cross and Red Crescent symbols were bombed; and many other atrocities committed in violation of the Geneva Convention.

TIMES-PICAYUNE, June 11, 1967.

SINK THE EVIDENCE

Although Israel reiterates that attack on USS Liberty was just an accident, a Navy court of inquiry reported that Israeli forces "had ample opportunity" in five hours of surveillance to identify the U.S. radar-communications ship before they launched an air and torpedo attack on it June 8.

DAILY NEWS, June 29, 1967.

ISRAEL PLANS FOR PEACE

A Canadian Press reporter said in a dispatch from Nicosia, Cyprus, that authoritative sources in Tel Aviv say Israel's next major move will be to make the atom bomb.

STAR LEDGER, June 17, 1967.

MILLIONS FOR CRIMINALS

WORLD-WIDE ISRAELI FUND DRIVES MAY RAISE \$500 MILLION FOR NATION'S MID EAST WAR COSTS.

Heading in Wall Street Journal, June 20, 1967.

Church of Silence

(Continued from Page 2)

ing could be farther from the truth. The truth is that the words Hebrew, Israelite, Jew, Judaism and Jewish people refer to different groups of people in different periods of time, and for this reason these groups of people show no evidence of historic continuity.

There is no "Jewish race" as there is no Christian or Musulman race. Jews are people who acknowledge the Judaic faith and represent as many racial strains as there are countries that have been converted to Judaism. The latest mass conversion of people in the 8th century to the Judaic faith are those known as Khazars who are known to be of Turco-Finnish stock settling in southern Russia between the Volga and the Don Rivers. The founders of the State of Israel in 1948 as well as creators of communism in Russia in 1917 are the descendants of the Kingdom of Khazaria who became "Jewish" because of their conversion to the Judaic faith. These Khazarian Jews similar to Egyptian, African, Libyan, Grecian, German, Italian and others never possessed any racial, lingual or cultural affinity with Israel. A study conducted in 1952 by the United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization agreed with the findings of Dr. Eugene Pittard, Prof. of Anthropology of the University of Geneva, who stated that there is no "Jewish Race."

Is Christ a Jew? This question has been raised by Christians and by Jews alike. The former ask the question because of vincible and invincible ignorance; the latter because of a desire to establish a kinship with Chris-

tians as well as to convince that Christianity is rooted in Judaism.

What is the nature of this kinship linking Christ to the Jews? Does this kinship reflect a racial, lingual or cultural one? Jesus Christ according to biblical scholars was born in the land of Judea. Christ, consequently, is a Judean by birth and nationality. As Christ followed the precepts of the Torah He is acknowledged to have adhered to Mosaicism and not Talmudism or Kabbalism as practiced by the Pharisees of His day. Christ spoke in Aramaic and not in Yiddish or any other language spoken in other countries adopting Judaism.

The Jews, however, represent people of different countries, of different ethnic strains who never had a racial, lingual or cultural tie common to the ancient people of Israel. The language of the present-day Jew is totally different from the one spoken by Christ. The religion of Judaism is based upon the teachings of the Talmud and the Kabbalah.

What is the underlying reason motivating the Jewish religious leaders to promulgate or retain the fiction that Christ is a Jew when all evidence to the contrary dispels such a fantastic claim? There is no question but that behind the association of the word "Jew" with Christ lies an attempt to establish for themselves an historical continuity with Israel. One would think the greatest concern of the Jewish religious leaders would be to inform the Christian world that Christ is a Judean and not a Jew in order to disassociate themselves from the stigma as being Christ-killers. Jewish leaders in religion have made no effort to clarify that Jesus is not a Jew. Apparently the religious Jewish leaders would rather court the consequences of anti-semitism than obliterate the misconception that Christ is a Jew, since political Zionism feeds and thrives upon anti-semitic scares.

From the presentation above concerning the activities of the Political Zionist Establishment aided and abetted by the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith the reader will now better understand why the Christian religious leaders in the United States remained silent when confronted with the duty to speak out and to defend the cause of justice in the Middle East. Did not these Christian prelates see the news published by the Vatican sources on June 14 in Osservatore della Domenica of Rome which declared Israel to be the aggressor nation in the recent Middle East crisis? It certainly would seem to have been within the competence of the National Council of Catholic Bishops to take a stand on what should be the policy of the United States in the Mid East crisis. It did so regarding the participation of our country in the Vietnam crisis. The failure of the N.C.C.B. to voice its feelings representing the will of the millions of its faithful on this all important matter that may explode into a third global war of unknown proportions, has given the world's Zionists an unlimited control upon the position to be followed by our country in the Mid East.

How long will it take our Christians to learn that our Ashkenazim Jews originating from the Kingdom of Khazaria in Russia under the umbrella of Zionism have influenced our foreign policy as early as 1913 at which time Woodrow Wilson was elected to the presidency? Was it not these Ashkenazim Jews who attempted an overthrow of Christian Russia in 1905? Did not these Ashkenazim Jews bring our country into the European conflagration in 1917 to defeat Christian Germany, and by means of their war profits succeed in destroying Christian Russia? Did not our Ashkenazim Jews in 1935 declare a holy war upon Germany by means of an international economic boycott and bring us to destroy Germany for the second time? Did not the Ashkenazim Jew rape Palestine in 1948 and send close to a million Arabs into the Jordanian desert?

It is only natural for our American Am-

"We have built a dynamic state, bent upon expansion."

Ben Gurion (David Green, Polish Jew) Former Premier of Israel.

bassador to the United Nations, Arthur Goldberg, a Zionist political Jew, to view the recent invasion of the Israeli forces in the Arab countries as an expression of self-defense against the Arabs, in an effort to protect its sovereignty. It has been the policy of the Zionists to identify the aims of Zionism with our foreign policy and thereby use the good services of our Foreign Affairs Department to further the interests of Zionism. This marriage of Zionism with the United States began as early as 1913.

It would have been quite proper for our American Ambassador Mr. Goldberg to consult with other religious groups within the United States to obtain their views concerning the recent flare-up in the Mid East. Mr. Goldberg's negative vote on the resolution introduced by Soviet Russia requesting an emergency session of the General Assembly of the United Nations certainly did not take into account the opinion of the millions of Christians and other religionists in our country. His irrational vote reflected the sole interests of the political Zionists of this country which at best do not represent a majority of the Jewry in the United States. Christians should know that not all Jews in our country countenance political Zionism.

The effrontery of Arthur Goldberg, shown by his disregard of the truth and justice has a parallel in history recalling the betrayal of Jesus Christ by Judas Iscariot who betrayed the early founded organization he was to serve.

There is no denying that the silence of the Christian Church in our country, which left unchallenged the position taken by our Ambassador Goldberg, tacitly supported the identification of the designs of Zionism with our foreign policy in the Mid East. This silence left the future of the Mid East countries to the mercy of the World Zionist establishment, whose power is formidable when exercised through the Zionist controlled administration.

May God help our Christian country which appears to be now abandoned by our Christian clergymen because of the malaise diagnosed as Ecumenism! The Christian Church gave up its ghost when it bowed to the arrogance of the political Zionist Jews at the time of the Ecumenical Council when there was foisted upon that body the discussion of the Jewish problems. The acceptance of the Jewish questions for discussion by the Ecumenical Council has had the sole effect to further contain and restrict the Christians from defending themselves against the attacks of the Ashkenazim Jews who are rendered invisible by the cloaks of Judaism and Zionism.

THE PLOT AGAINST THE CHURCH

By MAURICE PINAY

First circulated in August, 1962 among 2,200 cardinals and bishops attending the Second Vatican Council. In the Introduction to this first foreign edition these Church Fathers were warned: "A most dastardly conspiracy is in being against the Church. Its enemies are about to destroy its most sacred traditions and to propose such daring and malevolent reforms as had been put forward by Calvin, Zwingli and other false teachers. In the middle of 1961 we discovered that the enemy is again attempting to start a conspiracy to open the doors to Communism, to bring about the collapse of the free world and to deliver the Holy Church into the claws of the "Synagogue of Satan."

707 Pages, Indexed, Hard Cover—Price \$10.

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S.	U. S.
	CANADA	CANADA
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00

Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year

BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED

20 copies	\$1.00
100 copies	4.00
500 copies	15.00
1000 copies	20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.

530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083